



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,127	08/16/2001	Joseph C. Chan	50R4781	4319
7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Department			LEE, RICHARD J	
Sony Electronics Inc. 123 Tice Boulevard - MD T1-1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Woodcliff Lake, NJ 07675			2613	4
		DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
, occ. 4 to 0	09/932,127	CHAN, JOSEPH C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Lee	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ser	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/932,127

Art Unit: 2613

1. The applicant's arguments from the amendment filed April 14, 2004 have been noted and considered, but are deemed moot in view of the following new grounds of rejections.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brailean et al (5,724,369) in view of Zhao et al of record (US 2003/0067981 A1).

Brailean et al discloses a method and device for concealment and containment of errors in a macroblock based video coded as shown in Figures 3, 4, and 6, and substantially the same method for concealing errors in texture partition of a video packet, error concealment system for texture partition of a video packet, and computer readable medium containing executable instructions which, when executed in a processing system, causes the system to conceal errors in texture partition of a video packet as claimed in claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-25, comprising substantially the same error location detector (i.e., 314 of Figure 6, and see column 5, lines 1-20, column 6, lines 25-47) to receive video packets, and determine a particular macroblock within the texture partition where error is detected, and determining a particular location within the texture partition where error is detected; an error concealment element (i.e., 316 of Figure 3, 620 of Figure 6, and see column 5, lines 21-39, column 7, lines 9-65) to conceal the error starting at the particular macroblock, and to conceal the error in texture data starting at the particular location; an image smoothness evaluator (i.e., MSE of macroblocks, see column 7, line 41 to

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/932,127

Art Unit: 2613

column 8, line 6) to evaluate the concealed macroblocks, and evaluating image smoothness of the concealed texture data; repeating the concealing and evaluating with one more macroblock added prior to the previous particular macroblock/location, the repeating done until all macroblocks/texture data units in the texture partition have been concealed (i.e., errors within the video sequence are concealed, which includes the previous error detected macroblock, and any subsequent error detected macroblocks, see column 3, lines 25-32, and MSE of macroblocks, column 7, line 41 to column 8, line 6); storing all decoded macroblocks of texture data in the texture partition up to the particular macroblock (i.e., 618 of Figure 6, and see column 7, line 41 to column 8, line 6); the concealing the error starting at the particular macroblock includes performing motion compensated temporal replacements of macroblocks starting at the particular macroblock (i.e., as provided by 608 of Figure 6); the evaluating image smoothness of concealed macroblocks includes computing smoothness of macroblock boundaries, wherein the smoothness of macroblock boundaries is measured by summing pixel value mismatches between macroblock boundary pixels, wherein the summing pixel mismatches includes storing partial mismatch values, wherein the summing pixel value mismatches includes summing squares of the pixel value differences (i.e., calculating MSE for macroblock boundaries, see column 7, line 23 to column 8, line 6); wherein the pixel value mismatches are computed by reusing the partial mismatch values from previous iterations (i.e., the same MSE mismatch equation (2) at column 7, line 56 is used from frame to frame, thereby providing the computation by reusing the partial mismatch values from previous iterations); detecting the error in the video packet (i.e., as provided by 314 of Figure 6), the detecting includes detecting invalid variable length code and inconsistent resynchronization header information (see column 5, lines 21-39, column 6, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/932,127

Art Unit: 2613

25-47); and selecting a set of macroblocks includes recovering some of the stored decoded macroblocks, wherein the some of the stored decoded macroblocks include decoded macroblocks up to a macroblock that produced the best image smoothness (i.e., as provided by 350 of Figure 3 and 618 of Figure 6, see column 5, lines 21-39, column 7, line 23 to column 8, line 6).

Brailean et al does not particular disclose, though, a selector to select a set of macroblocks/texture data units, including a combination of decoded and concealed macroblocks/texture data units, that produces best image smoothness as claimed in claims 1, 19, 21, and 24. However, Zhao et al discloses a system and method for performing bit rate allocation for a video data stream, and teaches the conventional use of a combination of features for concealing errors in a video packet, such as a combination of decoded and concealed macroblocks/texture data unit that produces best image smoothness (i.e., replacing the unrecoverable macroblock with a corresponding macroblock from a previous frame and temporal concealment, see sections [0172] and [0174] of page 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Brailean et al and Zhao et al references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of video error concealment techniques, would have had no difficulty in providing the combination of decoded and concealed macroblocks/texture data units that produces best image smoothness as taught by Zhao et al as part of the error concealment technique within the video decoder as shown in Figure 6 of Brailean et al for the same well known concealment of video errors with a combination of features in order to produce the best image purposes as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,127

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 4, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brailean et al and Zhao et al as applied to claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-25 in the above paragraph (3), and further in view of Talluri et al of record (6,111,916).

The combination of Brailean et al and Zhao et al discloses substantially the same method for concealing errors in texture partition of a video packet, error concealment system for texture partition of a video packet, and computer readable medium as above, but does not particularly disclose performing motion compensated temporal replacements is done for those macroblocks whose motion vectors have changed; wherein the detecting includes detecting receipt of out-ofrange motion vectors; and wherein the detecting includes DCT coefficient counts greater than a predetermined amount of approximately 64 pixels form a macroblock and Y/Cr/Cb pixel values out of range as claimed in claims 4, 15, and 16. However, Talluri et al discloses an error resilient encoding and teaches the conventional detection of out of range motion vectors and DCT errors (see column 3, lines 45-56, column 7, lines 18-52). And, in the event that motion vector error is detected as taught by Talluri et al, it is considered obvious that the particular motion compensated temporal replacements for macroblocks as disclosed in both Brailean et al and Zhao et al (see 608 of Figure 6 of Brailean et al and section [0172] at page 13 of Zhao et al) may certainly be provided as the desired error concealment technique. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Brailean et al, Zhao et al, and Talluri et al references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of error detections within video coders/decoders, would have had no difficulty in providing the error detecting of motion vectors and DCT coefficients as taught by Talluri et al as part of the error detection process within the

Application/Control Number: 09/932,127

Art Unit: 2613

combination of Brailean et al and Zhao et al so that error concealment may further be provided to conceal the detected errors purposes as claimed.

- Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 5. be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Any response to this action should be mailed to: 6.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6612. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Richard Lee/rl